Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/039,982	NELSON ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Monica A. Huson	1732
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>Allowed</u>	
(1) <u>Monica A. Huson</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Michael Hawkins</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 10 November 2005	Time: approx. 11am (EST)	<u>.</u>
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: n/a		
Claims discussed: 21		
Prior art documents discussed: US 5527574 (lannazzi et al.)		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	ERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	B DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summation</li> </ul>	he examiner will provide a written record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
		•
• •	•	
Minuiga Chroon		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicar	nt/Applicant's Representative Signature	gnature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner phoned Atty. Greg Gardella, and Atty. Hawkins returned her call. In light of US 5527574, she proposed an amendment to independent claim 21 to clarify that the applicant's resinous material and reinforcing elements are added to the mold prior to its closing. Mr. Hawkins agreed to the amendment which is contained herein as the "Examiner's Amendment".